Request for Reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 062165

Application No.: 10/569,226

Art Unit: 3657

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as presently amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 19-54 are now pending in the present application. Claims 19, 20, 25, 28, 31 and 34 stand

rejected. Claims 21-24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 35-54 were withdrawn from consideration for

being directed to a non-elected invention.

Claims Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 19, 20, 25, 28, 31 and 34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being

anticipated by Atsuya et al. (JP 2003-315493, hereinafter "Atsuya"). Claims 19 and 20 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Asahi (JP 61-40368, hereinafter

"Asahi"). For the reasons set forth in detail below, these rejections are respectfully traversed.

The Examiner alleges that the subject matter of independent claim 19 of the present

application is disclosed by Atsuya (JP 2003-315493) and Asahi (JP S61-40368). The following

tables (Table 1 and Table 2) respectively show the relationship between elements recited in

claim 19 and the disclosure of the Atsuya and Asahi references that the Examiner asserts

corresponds to the elements in claim 19.

- 2 -

Application No.: 10/569,226 Art Unit: 3657

Table 1

Claim 19 of the present application	Disclosure of Atsuya (JP 2003-315493)
A cask buffer body comprising: a shock absorber	Fig. 1 of Atsuya
configured to be attached to a cask, wherein	not shown
the shock absorber absorbs a shock against the cask by being deformed,	Fig. 3 of Atsuya
and includes a space for adjusting a shock absorbing capability.	Reference numeral 25 of Atsuya

Table 2

Claim 19 of the present application	Disclosure of Asahi
	(JP S81-40368)
A cask buffer body comprising:	Fig. 6 of Asahi
a shock absorber	
configured to be attached to a cask,	Reference numeral 1
wherein	(Fig. 6 of Asahi)
the shock absorber absorbs a shock against the cask by	Fig. 4 of Asahi
being deformed,	
and includes a space for adjusting a shock absorbing	6a of Fig. 1 of Asahi
capability.	6b of Fig. 2 of Asahi
	6c of Fig. 3 of Asahi

As will be discussed below, it is respectfully submitted that neither **Atsuya** nor **Asahi** disclose "the shock absorber...includes a space for adjusting a shock absorbing capability" as recited in claim 19.

Request for Reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Attorney Docket No.: 062165

Art Unit: 3657

Application No.: 10/569,226

The Examiner alleges the "space" of the claimed invention is disclosed by the reference

numeral 25 of Atsuva. However, the reference numeral 25 of Atsuva is a laminated sheet, but is

not a space. Even, if it is assumed that the Examiner actually meant reference numeral 52

instead of 25, the reference numeral 52 is a wood screw, but not a space. Further, Atsuya

discloses a hole 65; however, the hole 65 is a through hole into which a fastening bolt 82 or the

wood screw 52 are inserted. Therefore, the hole 65 is not provided for adjusting a shock

absorbing capability of the laminated sheet 25.

As illustrated in FIGS, 1-3 of Asahi, Asahi discloses shock absorbing holes 6a, 6b, 6c;

however, Asahi discloses a shock absorber for light objects in contrast to the heavy objects (a

cask that stores a recycle fuel) of the present application. The material of the shock absorber of

Asahi is synthetic resin and, unlike the material of the present application, the material of the

shock absorber of Asahi is not wood

A rejection under §102 requires that each and every element recited in the claim must be

disclosed in the prior art reference, and must be arranged as in the claim. In view of the

foregoing discussion, it is respectfully submitted that neither Atsuva nor Asahi disclose all

elements recited in independent claim 19. Therefore, claim 19, and claims 20, 25, 28, 31 and 34

which depend from claim 19, patentably distinguish over the Atsuva and Asahi references.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under §102 are respectfully

requested.

- 4 -

Application No.: 10/569,226 Art Unit: 3657

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 25, 28, 31 and 34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable

over Asahi as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Francois (US 6,234,311).

Claims 25, 28, 31 and 34 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 19. The

Francois reference does not alleviate, and was not asserted to alleviate, any of the above-noted

deficiencies of Asahi regarding claim 19. Therefore, claims 25, 28, 31 and 34 patentably

distinguish over the combination of Asahi and Francois for the same reasons set forth above

with respect to claim 19 by virtue of their dependency thereon. Accordingly, reconsideration

and withdrawal of the rejection under §103 are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all pending claims are in condition for

allowance. A prompt and favorable reconsideration of the rejection and an indication of

allowability of all pending claims are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that there are issues remaining to be resolved in this application,

the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated

below to arrange for an interview to expedite and complete prosecution of this case.

- 5 -

Application No.: 10/569,226 Art Unit: 3657

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

/WILLIAM M. SCHERTLER/

William M. Schertler Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 35,348 Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

WMS/ar